

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,485	01/22/2001	Beverly Sparks		3256
7:	590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
Sam Talpalatsky, Esq. THORNTON, KRISA		ISANNE MARIE		
10867 Cloverhi San Diego, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 SK	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/767,485	SPARKS, BEVERLY
Examiner	Art Unit
Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton)	1744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NC - Faild Any	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)
-------------	----

1) 🛛	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🗌	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/767,485

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4,7, 11, 13, 17, 20, 24, 27, 31, 34-35, 38, 41, 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 7, 13, 20, 27, 34-35 and 41, these are found to be vague and indefinite because they contain an improper Markush group. The use of "chosen from a group comprising at least one of" is not proper and should be recited as – selected from the group consisting of—. Correction is required.

With respect to claims 7, 11, 17, 24, 31, 38 and 45-46, these claims are found to be vague and indefinite because it is unclear as to how the claimed structure is capable of achieving the recited function. Namely, how are perforations capable of "allowing the user to selectively open the exhaust vent"? Clarification is required.

With respect to claims 45-46, spanning pages 23-24 of Applicant's disclosure. It appears as though the subject matter of a third claim was inadvertently included between these two claims. Please review and clarify that which is being claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/767,485 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-17, 20-24, 27-31, 34-38 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goglio U.S. patent No. 5,285,954.

Goglio teaches a container useable for transport, storage and sterilization of it's contents, the container formed of panels, the top being hingedly attached, and has a laminate used in the construction of at least one of the panels that can withstand sterilizing conditions. The container further has a flap extending from the top panel and covering an exhaust vent therein. See column 1, lines 24-25, column 2, lines 10-24, column 4, lines 23-30, and lines 49-53.

Claims 1, 7-8, 13-14, 20-21, 27-28, 34-35 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Padgett U.S. patent No. 4,644,586.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,485

Art Unit: 1744

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5-6, 12, 18-19, 25-26, 32-33, 39-40 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goglio as applied to claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-17, 20-24, 27-31, 34-38 and 41-44 above, and further in view of either Cote et al., U.S. patent No. 5,913,422 or Stone et al., U.S. patent No. 5,732,821.

It is conventional in the art to provide sterilization containers with racks therein, those racks being perforated to facilitate the flow of sterilant around all sides of an item to be sterilized as is shown in both Cote et al., and Stone et al. It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such rack means within the container of Goglio in order to ensure optimal contact of the sterilant with the article to be sterilized.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton) whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,485

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KRISANNE THORNTON S PRIMARY EXAMINER March 22, 2004